IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL, CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT **PETITION NO.1884 OF 2006**

Maharashtara Rajya Suraksha

Rakshak & General Kamgar Union...Petitioner

Vs.

The Security Guards Board

for Greater Mumbai & Thane Dist.

& Ors. ...Respondents

Ms. Vaishali Bhikare, for the Petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Mishra & V.V. Mishra for Respondent No.3.

Mrs. Lata Desai i/b. P. Diwekar, for Respondent No.1.

Mr. Abhay Kulkarni for Respondent No.2.

CORAM: **F.I. REBELLO &**

ANOOP V. MOHTA, JJ.

DATE: 1ST SEPTEMBER 2006

P.C.

. Respondent No.2 presently is not registered with the Board, but employs workmen from Respondent No.3, who has not been issued any order of exemption. Considering the above, this petition can be disposed of by issuing the following order:-

(i) Respondent No.2 to apply to Respondent No.1 for registration within seven days from today.

Respondent No.1 thereafter to consider the application and dispose of the same according to law.

(ii) If the guards represented by the petitioner whose names are included in Annexure A have applied for registration their application be considered and disposed of according to law. If the respondent No.2 fails to apply for registration respondent No.1 to take steps to prosecute the respondent No.2.

(iii) If the members of the petitioner are registered as far as possible they should be allotted to respondent No.2. Till then the guards who are employed not to be terminated.

. With the above directions petition disposed of.

(F.I. REBELLO, J)

(ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.)